REMARKS

This amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action of January 18, 2007.

Specification

The specification has been amended to contain a reference to each prior-filed application from which this application claims benefit of an earlier filing date.

A petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.78(a)(3) filed herewith claims the benefit of the prior-filed applications. The petition includes an identification of the prior-filed applications and a statement that the failure to make the claim in a timely matter was unintentional. The surcharge required by 37 C.F.R. 1.17(t) is authorized by a separate paper filed with the petition.

Claims

Bowman 5,797,398 will not be a reference against claims 1-9 present in the application upon grant of the petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.78(a)(3) as the Bowman reference is one from which priority is claimed. The filing date of Bowman 5,797,398 is August 13, 1993.

The examiner's position is that claims 1, 2 and 4-9 find support in the prior-filed application Ser. No. 10/364,773 and thus have a filing date of March 24, 2004. Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 also find support in all the other prior-filed nonprovisional applications identified in the petition because all applications identified in the petition have identical disclosures. Thus, upon grant of the petition the effective filing date of claims 1,2 and 4-9 will be August 13, 1993, a date earlier than the filing date of Callister et al. 7,087,026, and Callister will not be a reference against claims 1, 2 and 4-9.

With respect to claim 3 the effective filing date is March 24, 2004 (or March 26, 2003, the filing date of provisional application 60/458,100). Even though Callister may stand as a reference against claim 3, claim 3 is allowable as it depends from claim 2.

It is submitted that claims 1-9 define patentable subject matter not anticipated or obvious in view of prior art. Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Neal Reg. No. 23,097

Tel. (479) 203-1155 jneal@hemedex.com

June 29, 2007

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,722	SMITH ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason L. Savage	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	of with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this conne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	<i>,</i>		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
	oloolion loquii oliiolii.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		– .	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			R 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	n priority under 25 LLS	C & 110(a) (d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies	not received.	
Attachment(s)	=		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date. <u>20050913</u> .	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/662,722

Art Unit: 1775

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-6 and 16-24, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass
 685.

- II. Claims 7-11, drawn to a method, classified in class 419, subclass 40.
- III. Claims 12-15, drawn to method, classified in class 219, subclass 137R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the article as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as forming a composite billet comprising MA056 alloy having a surface layer of Fe-Ni-Cr wherein the billet is subjected to hot isostatic pressing as opposed to the claimed method of simultaneously extruding the outer shell and the inner core to for the extruded composite tube.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process wherein straight pieces of tubing

Application/Control Number: 10/662,722

Art Unit: 1775

are welded together as opposed to the claimed method of bending the composite tubing prior to joining layers by welding.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions differ in that the method of Group II is drawn to a method of forming composite tubes having a Fe-Ni-C shell and a MA956 core whereas the method of Group III is drawn to a method of bending composite shell/core tubes and joining the bent composite tubes by welding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Kent Baldauf Sr. on 9-13-05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/662,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Savage whose telephone number is 571-272-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason Savage 9-13-05

HENNIFER MICNEIL

PRIMARY EXAMINER
9/18/05